UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Case No. 4:09-CR-0224-DGK
v.	ORDER ON MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)
RASHEED SHAKUR	(COMPASSIONATE RELEASE)
Upon motion of the defendant th	ne Director of the Bureau of Prisons for a
reduction in sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), and after considering the applicable
factors provided in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and the	applicable policy statements issued by the
Sentencing Commission,	
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	
GRANTED	
The defendant's previously imposed	d sentence of imprisonment of is reduced to
. If this sentence is less than the amount of	of time the defendant already served, the sentence
is reduced to a time served; or	
Time served.	
If the defendant's sentence is reduced to	time served:
This order is stayed for u	up to fourteen days, for the verification of the
defendant's residence and	d/or establishment of a release plan, to make
appropriate travel arrange	ements, and to ensure the defendant's safe
release. The defendant sh	nall be released as soon as a residence is verified,
a release plan is establish	ned, appropriate travel arrangements are made,

	and it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in	
	ensuring travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are	
	needed to make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the	
	defendant's safe release, the parties shall immediately notify the court and	
	show cause why the stay should be extended; or	
	There being a verified residence and an appropriate release plan in place,	
	this order is stayed for up to fourteen days to make appropriate travel	
	arrangements and to ensure the defendant's safe release. The defendant	
	shall be released as soon as appropriate travel arrangements are made and	
	it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in ensuring	
	travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are needed to	
	make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the defendant's safe	
	release, then the parties shall immediately notify the court and show cause	
	why the stay should be extended.	
The defen	dant must provide the complete address where the defendant will reside	
upon release to the probation office in the district where they will be released because it		
was not include	led in the motion for sentence reduction.	
Under 18	U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), the defendant is ordered to serve a "special term"	
of probation	on or supervised release of months (not to exceed the unserved	
portion of the	original term of imprisonment).	
Th	te defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release apply to	
the "special term" of supervision; or		
The conditions of the "special term" of supervision are as follows:		

The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are unchanged.		
The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are modified as		
follows:		
DEFERRED pending supplemental briefing and/or a hearing. The court DIRECTS the		
United States Attorney to file a response on or before , along with all Bureau of Prisons		
records (medical, institutional, administrative) relevant to this motion.		
DENIED after complete review of the motion on the merits.		
FACTORS CONSIDERED (Optional)		
None of the reasons Defendant gives as justification for compassionate release (e.g., his		
life sentence is the product of a sentencing disparity; he has undertaken extraordinary post-		
sentence rehabilitation efforts; he suffers from chronic medical conditions) are credible reasons		
to release him, and the 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) factors weigh against his early release. For example,		
Defendant had a lengthy criminal history before committing the crimes for which he is currently		
incarcerated. Defendant would also be a danger to the community if released. During his trial,		
the Government introduced a wiretap recording on which Defendant declared himself to be the		
"Michael Corleone" of Kansas City, and corroborating evidence demonstrated this was not an		
idle boast.		
DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE because the defendant has not exhausted all		
administrative remedies as required in 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), nor have 30 days lapsed since		
receipt of the defendant's request by the warden of the defendant's facility.		

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:	
September 26, 2023,	/s/ Greg Kays
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE